

Public Assurances on Medicare, Medicaid, and Social Security by Conservative Figures and Entities (Post-October 1, 2024)

Introduction

- **Objective:** This report documents explicit public assurances from specified conservative figures and organizations regarding the protection of Medicare, Medicaid, and Social Security, based on verifiable statements and media appearances made since October 1, 2024. The purpose is to provide a factual record for comparison with policy proposals and actions.
- **Methodology:** The report compiles direct quotations, speaker details, dates, and sources. It also notes instances where actions or other statements appear to contradict these assurances, based on the provided research documents. Where no qualifying public statement is found for a key figure on a specific program within the timeframe, this is explicitly noted.
- **Scope Limitation:** This report is based solely on the provided research documents.

I. Statements from Presidential Candidates and Key Elected Officials

The following table provides a summary of key assurances made by prominent officials regarding Medicare, Medicaid, and Social Security since October 1, 2024.

Summary of Assurances from Key Officials

Speaker/Affiliation	Program(s)	Date of Statement	Direct Quote (Key Excerpt of Assurance)	Source (Document Title/URL)
Donald Trump	Social Security, Medicare, Medicaid	Mar 11, 2025	"The Trump Administration will not cut Social Security, Medicare, or Medicaid benefits."	White House Fact Check (¹ , ¹)
Donald Trump	Social Security	Feb 7, 2025	"Social Security will not be	Press Conference (via

			touched, it will only be strengthened."	The Pennsylvania Independent, ^{2, 3)}
Mike Johnson (Speaker of the House)	Medicare, Medicaid, Social Security	Mar 11, 2025	"No cuts to Medicare, Medicaid, or Social Security. Zero."	House Republican Leadership Press Conference (^{4, 4)}
Mike Johnson (Speaker of the House)	Medicaid	May 9, 2025 (approx.)	"...those folks are covered, and no one loses their coverage."	Statement on Medicaid Beneficiaries (via WUFT News, ^{5, 5)}
Mike Crapo (Sen. R-Idaho)	Social Security, Medicare, Medicaid	Mar 25, 2025	"President Trump has made it absolutely clear that he will not support or allow any reduction to benefits for Social Security, Medicare or Medicaid."	AARP Virtual Forum (⁶⁾
Jason Smith (Rep. R-MO)	Social Security	Mar 12, 2025	"House Republicans and President Trump remain committed to protecting and preserving the retirement benefits seniors count on."	Ways and Means Committee Markup (^{7, 7)}

- **A. Donald Trump**

Donald Trump and his administration have issued several statements regarding the protection of Medicare, Medicaid, and Social Security. However, these verbal assurances often appear alongside administrative actions or policy leanings that

critics argue could undermine these programs. A pattern emerges where "cuts" are narrowly defined as direct reductions in benefit payment levels, while other significant changes impacting program delivery, eligibility, or scope are framed as "efficiencies," "strengthening measures," or efforts to combat "waste and fraud." This approach allows for the pursuit of broader conservative fiscal goals while attempting to reassure key constituencies, particularly seniors, that their benefits are secure. The consistent messaging of protection, juxtaposed with actions that could restrict these programs, points to a political strategy of navigating the popularity of these entitlements while seeking avenues for fiscal restraint or ideological reform.

- **1. Medicare: Assurances and Any Contradictory Statements/Actions**

- **Assurance:**

- **Quote:** "The Trump Administration will not cut Social Security, Medicare, or Medicaid benefits. President Trump himself has said it (over and over and over again)."
- **Speaker:** The White House (attributed to Trump Administration/President Trump)
- **Position/Affiliation:** Executive Office of the President
- **Date:** March 11, 2025
- **Source:** White House Fact Check, "FACT CHECK: President Trump Will Always Protect Social Security, Medicare" (¹, ¹)

- **Assurance (via RNC Platform):**

- **Quote:** "President Trump has made absolutely clear that he will not cut one penny from Medicare or Social Security. American Citizens work hard their whole lives, contributing to Social Security and Medicare. These programs are promises to our Seniors, ensuring they can live their golden years with dignity. Republicans will protect these vital programs and ensure Economic Stability."
- **Speaker:** Republican National Committee (Platform reflecting Trump's position)
- **Position/Affiliation:** Political Party Platform
- **Date:** 2024 (Platform referenced in March 4, 2025 article)
- **Source:** The Pennsylvania Independent, "Trump breaks promise not to cut Social Security" (², ³)

- **Context/Potential Contradiction (Waste and Fraud Focus):** White House communications emphasize that a key strategy for managing entitlement programs like Medicare involves an aggressive focus on eliminating "waste and fraud." For instance, a White House fact check from March 11, 2025, highlighted that the U.S. Government Accountability

Office estimates taxpayers lose as much as \$521 billion annually to fraud, with much of this occurring within entitlement programs such as Medicare and Medicaid ⁽¹⁾. The same document endorsed a statement by Elon Musk: “The waste and fraud in entitlement spending — which is most of the federal spending is entitlements — so, that's, like, the big one to eliminate. That's the, sort of half-trillion, maybe \$6-700 billion a year.” The White House added, “And he's exactly right” ^(1, 1). While presented as a method to protect and sustain these programs rather than enact cuts, intensive efforts to curb “improper payments” could lead to more stringent eligibility verifications or payment denials, which beneficiaries might experience as a reduction in access or benefits. This focus allows the administration to pursue cost-saving measures under the politically acceptable banner of fiscal prudence and anti-fraud efforts, distinct from direct benefit cuts.

○ **2. Medicaid: Assurances and Any Contradictory Statements/Actions**

■ **Assurance:**

- **Quote:** “The Trump Administration will not cut Social Security, Medicare, or Medicaid benefits.”
- **Speaker:** The White House (attributed to Trump Administration/President Trump)
- **Position/Affiliation:** Executive Office of the President
- **Date:** March 11, 2025
- **Source:** White House Fact Check, “FACT CHECK: President Trump Will Always Protect Social Security, Medicare” ^(1, 1)

- **Reported Contradictory Statement/Context:** A significant divergence between stated intentions and legislative outcomes appeared in May 2025. According to a Healthcare Dive report, as the House of Representatives prepared to vote on a reconciliation bill that included substantial Medicaid reductions, Donald Trump reportedly told Republicans, “don’t f--- around with Medicaid” ^(8, 8). This warning to preserve the safety-net program was in direct opposition to the bill's contents, which proposed cutting approximately \$700 billion from Medicaid over a decade, primarily by instituting work, education, or volunteering mandates for beneficiaries ⁽⁸⁾. The passage of this bill, which enacted several of Trump's tax and policy priorities despite his reported admonition on Medicaid, highlights a potential limitation of his influence on this specific promise or a willingness to allow such changes if they facilitate other policy objectives, such as tax cuts. This situation underscores the complexity of translating broad assurances into concrete

- legislative protections, especially when competing priorities are at play.
- **3. Social Security: Assurances and Any Contradictory Statements/Actions**
 - **Assurance:**
 - **Quote:** "The Trump Administration will not cut Social Security, Medicare, or Medicaid benefits."
 - **Speaker:** The White House (attributed to Trump Administration/President Trump)
 - **Position/Affiliation:** Executive Office of the President
 - **Date:** March 11, 2025
 - **Source:** White House Fact Check, "FACT CHECK: President Trump Will Always Protect Social Security, Medicare" (¹, ¹)
 - **Assurance:**
 - **Quote:** "We're not touching Social Security, other than we might make it more efficient, but the people are going to get what they're getting. And we're not raising ages or any of that stuff."
 - **Speaker:** Donald Trump
 - **Position/Affiliation:** Former President, Presidential Candidate
 - **Date:** December 2024 (referenced in March 4, 2025 article)
 - **Source:** NBC News interview (via The Pennsylvania Independent, ², ³)
 - **Assurance:**
 - **Quote:** "Social Security will not be touched, it will only be strengthened. We're not going to touch it, other than to make it stronger."
 - **Speaker:** Donald Trump
 - **Position/Affiliation:** Former President, Presidential Candidate
 - **Date:** February 7, 2025
 - **Source:** Press conference (via The Pennsylvania Independent, ², ³)
 - **Assurance (via RNC Platform):**
 - **Quote:** "President Trump has made absolutely clear that he will not cut one penny from Medicare or Social Security... Republicans will protect these vital programs..."
 - **Speaker:** Republican National Committee (Platform reflecting Trump's position)
 - **Position/Affiliation:** Political Party Platform
 - **Date:** 2024 (Platform referenced in March 4, 2025 article)
 - **Source:** The Pennsylvania Independent, "Trump breaks promise not to cut Social Security" (², ³)
 - **Context/Contradictory Actions (Administrative Changes to SSA):**

Despite repeated pledges not to "cut" or "touch" Social Security benefits, the Trump administration announced measures in February 2025 that critics argue will negatively impact the Social Security Administration's (SSA) ability to serve beneficiaries. On February 28, 2025, the administration revealed plans for "significant cuts to the program," which included shuttering six of the ten regional SSA offices and reducing the agency's workforce by nearly 10%, from approximately 57,000 employees to a target of 50,000 ^(2, 3). An SSA press release framed these changes as efforts to "implement efficiencies and reduce costs, with a renewed focus on mission critical work for the American people," consistent with White House executive orders ^(2, 3). However, organizations like the National Committee to Preserve Social Security and Medicare warned that such actions would strain an already understaffed agency, making it more difficult for individuals to access their earned benefits ⁽²⁾. This situation illustrates a potential semantic gap: while benefit payment amounts might not be directly reduced, administrative changes justified as "efficiencies" could significantly impair service delivery and access, thereby "touching" the program in a manner that beneficiaries experience as detrimental.

- **Context (Focus on Preventing Benefit Access for Undocumented Immigrants):** Reinforcing the narrative of protecting program integrity, the SSA announced on April 17, 2025, its full support for President Trump's memorandum titled "Preventing Illegal Aliens from Obtaining Social Security Act Benefits" ^(9, 9). Acting SSA Commissioner Leland Dudek stated, "We are committed to working diligently to implement the President's memorandum and to ensure that benefits are paid only to those who should receive them" ^(9, 9). The memorandum directs the SSA to expand fraud prosecution programs and reinforce measures to ensure only eligible noncitizens receive benefits. This action is framed as "safeguarding taxpayer dollars" and "protecting vital benefits that American workers have earned," aligning with the administration's broader theme of ensuring benefits are directed only to "deserving" recipients ^(9, 9).

- **B. Mike Johnson (Speaker of the House)**

Speaker Mike Johnson's assurances regarding Medicare, Medicaid, and Social Security have often been tied to specific legislative contexts, such as a "clean" Continuing Resolution (CR). His broader policy discussions, particularly concerning Medicaid, reveal a preference for "reforms" like work requirements, which he distinguishes from "benefit cuts," despite analyses projecting such changes could lead to significant coverage losses. This careful use of language

appears to be a strategy to navigate the complex political landscape, balancing promises to protect popular entitlements with pressure from conservative factions for spending reductions and reforms. His actions and statements reflect an effort to achieve fiscal savings through avenues that can be framed as improvements or eligibility adjustments rather than direct assaults on core benefits for what he terms the "traditional community" of beneficiaries.

- **1. Medicare: Assurances and Any Contradictory Statements/Actions**

- **Assurance:**

- **Quote:** "This clean CR contains no poison pill riders. No policy riders there at all. No cuts to Medicare, Medicaid, or Social Security. Zero."
 - **Speaker:** Mike Johnson
 - **Position/Affiliation:** Speaker of the House
 - **Date:** March 11, 2025
 - **Source:** House Republican Leadership press conference (⁴, ⁴)
 - **Context:** This statement was made while defending a 6-month continuing resolution against Democratic assertions that it included cuts to these programs. Johnson accused Democrats of disseminating "misinformation."
 - No specific contradictory statements on Medicare were found for Mike Johnson in the provided documents from October 1, 2024. However, general budget reconciliation efforts, such as those instructing the House Energy and Commerce Committee to find \$880 billion in savings from the Department of Health and Human Services budget, could indirectly affect Medicare funding or program parameters, as analysts suggest such large cuts are difficult without impacting major programs like Medicaid and potentially Medicare (¹⁰).

- **2. Medicaid: Assurances and Any Contradictory Statements/Actions**

- **Assurance:**

- **Quote:** "This clean CR contains no poison pill riders... No cuts to Medicare, Medicaid, or Social Security. Zero."
 - **Speaker:** Mike Johnson
 - **Position/Affiliation:** Speaker of the House
 - **Date:** March 11, 2025
 - **Source:** House Republican Leadership press conference (⁴, ⁴)

- **Assurance (Conditional):**

- **Quote:** "Our true and honest intention is to ensure that every Medicaid beneficiary who is in that traditional community of folks, you're talking about young pregnant mothers and young single mothers and the elderly and disabled, those folks are covered, and no one loses their

coverage."

- **Speaker:** Mike Johnson
- **Position/Affiliation:** Speaker of the House
- **Date:** Reported May 9, 2025 (statement made on an unspecified Thursday prior)
- **Source:** WUFT News, "A fight over Medicaid cuts is threatening GOP plans for Trump's big beautiful bill" (⁵, ⁵)
- **Context/Contradictory Statements/Actions (Focus on "Reform" via Work Requirements, not "Cuts"):** Speaker Johnson has consistently argued that proposed changes to Medicaid, such as the implementation of work requirements, do not constitute "cuts" to benefits but are rather "reforms" aimed at specific populations. On April 10, 2025, he stated, "No one has talked about cutting one benefit in Medicaid. What we've talked about is returning work requirements, so for example you don't have able-bodied young men on a program that's designed for single mothers and the elderly and disabled" (¹¹, ¹¹). Despite this framing, Johnson was instrumental in advancing a House reconciliation bill that included approximately \$700 billion in Medicaid cuts over a decade, primarily to be achieved through these work requirements (⁸). He also engaged in negotiations with conservative members of his party to potentially accelerate the start date of these work requirements to achieve deeper and more immediate savings, even though such measures were projected to lead to increased coverage losses (¹², ¹²). A February report by the Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, cited in April 2025, found that introducing work requirements could strip upwards of 36 million Americans of their health coverage (¹¹). This illustrates a significant divergence between Johnson's definition of "cuts" and the anticipated impact of the policies he champions. The focus on "reforming" the program for "able-bodied young men" allows for the pursuit of substantial fiscal savings while attempting to maintain that core benefits for "deserving" groups are being protected.
- **3. Social Security: Assurances and Any Contradictory Statements/Actions**
 - **Assurance:**
 - **Quote:** "This clean CR contains no poison pill riders... No cuts to Medicare, Medicaid, or Social Security. Zero."
 - **Speaker:** Mike Johnson
 - **Position/Affiliation:** Speaker of the House
 - **Date:** March 11, 2025

- **Source:** House Republican Leadership press conference (⁴, ⁴)
 - **Context/Past Positions (Pre-October 2024):** While Speaker Johnson's March 2025 statement provided a clear assurance regarding a specific continuing resolution, his prior record on Social Security is often highlighted by critics. A PolitiFact article from November 2023 (discussing his record before he became Speaker but relevant to his broader fiscal views) noted that during his chairmanship of the Republican Study Committee (2019-2021), the committee released a budget plan proposing significant changes to Social Security, including raising the retirement age and reducing cost-of-living adjustments for higher-income individuals. At that time, Johnson characterized entitlement spending as an "existential threat" (¹³). While these positions predate the October 1, 2024, timeframe for new assurances, they form part of the context for understanding his long-term fiscal perspectives, which could inform future legislative approaches beyond specific short-term funding bills.
 - **Legislative Context (Tax Bill):** A GOP legislative package discussed in May 2025, aimed at enacting President Trump's priorities, included a provision to exempt Social Security benefits from taxation for individuals over 65, up to certain income levels. This was framed as a tax relief measure and a fulfillment of a pledge not to tax these benefits, positioning it as a protective or enhancing measure rather than a cut (¹⁴).
- **C. Ron DeSantis**

The available information since October 1, 2024, does not contain explicit, new public statements from Ron DeSantis, in a federal or presidential candidate capacity, assuring that Medicare, Medicaid, or Social Security would not be cut, reduced, or harmed. Discussions involving DeSantis within this timeframe predominantly reference his past congressional record, his stances during his presidential campaign (which largely concluded before this period), or his actions as Governor of Florida, particularly concerning state-level Medicaid policy. This relative absence of recent, direct federal assurances, combined with his established policy record (such as opposing Medicaid expansion in Florida and previous openness to structural reforms for future Social Security beneficiaries), suggests a continued inclination towards constraining these programs rather than offering broad, unqualified protections at the federal level. His actions at the state level serve as a more current, tangible indicator of his policy preferences regarding the scope of these safety net programs.

 - **1. Medicare: Statements and Context**
 - **No explicit public statements found from Ron DeSantis since October 1, 2024, in the provided documents, explicitly stating Medicare would**

not be cut, reduced, or harmed at the federal level.

- **Context (Pre-October 2024 Record):** Articles from late 2023, discussing his then-active presidential campaign and prior congressional service, noted that as a U.S. Representative, DeSantis had supported non-binding budget resolutions that proposed reducing future Medicare spending. These included measures like raising the age of eligibility and a proposal for a \$473 billion reduction to Medicare's baseline spending over a decade (¹⁵, ¹⁶). During his presidential campaign, his specific current stance on Medicare was often described as "not clear" (¹⁵, ¹⁶). The continued discussion of this past record in late 2023 and early 2024 indicates it was a subject of public and media interest. The lack of new, explicit assurances in the 2025 documents is notable.
- **2. Medicaid: Statements and Context**
 - **No explicit public statements found from Ron DeSantis since October 1, 2024, in the provided documents, explicitly stating Medicaid would *not* be cut, reduced, or harmed at a *federal* level or in a presidential capacity.**
 - **Context (State Level - Florida):** As Governor of Florida, DeSantis has maintained a policy of not expanding Medicaid under the Affordable Care Act (ACA); Florida is one of only ten states yet to do so (¹⁷). Policy analyses from 2025 by the Florida Policy Institute highlight their opposition to measures that would "undermine, cut, and restrict access to Medicaid" within the state (¹⁷). Furthermore, groups like Florida Decides Healthcare, which are working to place Medicaid expansion on the state ballot, have criticized laws supported by DeSantis as creating undue obstacles for citizen-led initiatives (¹⁸). While these are state-level actions, they demonstrate a consistent policy approach that limits Medicaid's reach, contrasting with a stance of broad protection or expansion.
- **3. Social Security: Statements and Context**
 - **No explicit public statements found from Ron DeSantis since October 1, 2024, in the provided documents, explicitly stating Social Security would *not* be cut, reduced, or harmed at the federal level.**
 - **Context (Pre-October 2024 Record):** Similar to Medicare, discussions of DeSantis's Social Security stance in late 2023 (during his presidential campaign) referenced his previous positions. As a candidate, he stated he would not support changes for current beneficiaries but was open to reforms for younger generations, such as raising the retirement age, to ensure the program's long-term viability (¹⁵, ¹⁶). For example, in July 2023, he said, "When people say that we're going to somehow cut seniors, that

is totally not true. Talking about making changes for people in their 30s or 40s so that the program's viable, that's a much different thing" ^(15, 16). As a U.S. Representative, he had also supported non-binding proposals aimed at reducing Social Security spending, including raising the retirement age ^(15, 16). The absence of new, explicit, and overarching assurances in the provided 2025 documents is noteworthy.

- **D. Nikki Haley**

Nikki Haley's public position on Social Security and Medicare, articulated consistently during her presidential campaign (which extended into early 2024), was characterized by a nuanced approach: promising to protect current and near-retirees while advocating for structural reforms for future beneficiaries. These reforms included raising the retirement age for younger workers and limiting benefits for wealthier individuals, framed as necessary measures to "save" the programs from insolvency. This stance distinguished her from candidates offering blanket assurances of "no cuts." Her campaign actively defended this position against attacks that claimed she sought broad benefit reductions. Regarding Medicaid, her past record as Governor (opposing expansion) and campaign-era statements suggested a desire to "tackle" its spending rather than protect it from reductions. The political sensitivity of discussing any changes to these popular entitlements, even those targeted at future generations and aimed at long-term solvency, was evident in the political attacks she faced.

- **1. Medicare: Statements and Context**

- **No explicit public statements found from Nikki Haley since October 1, 2024, in the provided documents, explicitly stating Medicare would *not* be cut, reduced, or harmed for *all* beneficiaries without qualification.**
- **Context (Pre-October 2024, but defining her established position):** In an op-ed dated September 25, 2023, Haley wrote, "If we do nothing, Social Security will face cuts in 10 years...[source](#) Medicare without taking away anything from people nearing or in retirement" ⁽²⁰⁾. This press release was part of an effort to counter advertisements from Donald Trump that, according to her campaign, falsely portrayed her as wanting to cut benefits for a large percentage of Americans. Her approach was consistently to shield current and near-retirees while proposing changes for younger cohorts to ensure long-term program viability.

- **2. Medicaid: Statements and Context**

- **No explicit public statements found from Nikki Haley since October 1, 2024, in the provided documents, explicitly stating Medicaid would**

not be cut, reduced, or harmed at a federal level.

- **Context (Past statements as Governor/Criticism of ACA):** Documents referencing her record as Governor of South Carolina and her 2023-2024 presidential campaign highlight her past opposition to Medicaid expansion and the Affordable Care Act ⁽²¹⁾. As Governor, she stated, "As long as I am the governor of South Carolina, we will not expand Medicaid on President Obama's watch. We will not expand Medicaid ever" ⁽²¹⁾. During a campaign debate on January 10, 2024, Haley criticized the COVID-19 stimulus bill, noting, "they left us with 90 million people on Medicaid... No one has told you how to fix it" ⁽²²⁾. In another undated campaign-era statement, she was quoted as saying, "Look at Medicaid... and let's actually go to the heart of what is causing government to grow, and tackle that" ⁽²³⁾. These statements indicate a perspective focused on controlling Medicaid spending and reforming the program rather than a promise to protect it from any form of reduction.
- **3. Social Security: Statements and Context**
 - **No explicit public statements found from Nikki Haley since October 1, 2024, in the provided documents, explicitly stating Social Security would *not* be cut, reduced, or harmed for *all* beneficiaries without qualification.**
 - **Context (Pre-October 2024, but defining her established position):** Consistent with her Medicare stance, Haley's proposals for Social Security focused on protecting current and near-retirees while implementing changes for future beneficiaries. Her September 25, 2023 op-ed stated, "I will keep these programs the same for anyone who's in or nearing retirement. To protect these programs for the next generation, I'll limit benefits for wealthy people, raise the retirement age for younger people just entering the system..." ⁽¹⁹⁾. Campaign press releases in January and February 2024 vigorously defended this position. For example, a January 19, 2024 release asserted: "Does Haley want to cut Social Security? False... Haley's plan wouldn't affect current beneficiaries or anyone nearing retirement" ⁽²⁴⁾. This was a direct rebuttal to claims that she advocated for widespread cuts. Her consistent message was that reforms, such as changing the retirement age to reflect life expectancy for younger workers, were necessary to "save" Social Security, not to cut benefits for those currently or soon to be reliant on the program ^(20, 23).
- **E. Other Specified GOP Presidential Hopefuls (Ryan Binkley)**

Among other GOP presidential hopefuls mentioned in the provided documents, Ryan Binkley's campaign platform included a direct statement on Medicare and

Medicaid that signaled an intent to control spending rather than offer unqualified protection. This stance aligns with a common fiscally conservative viewpoint that prioritizes reining in entitlement expenditures to address broader budgetary concerns. Such a position, while potentially appealing to a segment of primary voters focused on government debt, contrasts sharply with promises of blanket protection for these programs.

- **1. Medicare & Medicaid:**
 - **Statement (Implies no protection from spending freezes/cuts):**
 - **Quote:** “we must enact comprehensive health care reform; we can't balance the budget without getting health care costs under control. To begin, I will freeze Medicare and Medicaid spending, and then end the monopolies on insurance exchanges, health care providers, and Big Pharma.”
 - **Speaker:** Ryan Binkley
 - **Position/Affiliation:** Republican Presidential Candidate
 - **Date:** As of December 19, 2023 (This statement was part of his active campaign platform. While the specific date of the quote is pre-October 2024, it reflects his declared policy position during the relevant election cycle covered by the query.)
 - **Source:** Ryan Binkley Campaign Website (via Ballotpedia,¹⁹,¹⁹)
 - This statement is not an assurance of protection. Instead, it explicitly proposes to “freeze Medicare and Medicaid spending” as an initial step in healthcare reform and budget balancing. A spending freeze, particularly in programs with rising costs due to inflation and an aging population, functions as a cut in real terms or on a per-beneficiary basis over time. This indicates that fiscal control over these programs was a higher stated priority for Binkley than unqualified protection from any reductions.
- **2. Social Security:**
 - **No public statement found from Ryan Binkley on Social Security explicitly promising no cuts or harm since October 1, 2024, in the provided documents.**

II. Statements from Project 2025 Contributors and Conservative Think Tank Leaders

The policy recommendations emanating from Project 2025 and The Heritage Foundation present a significantly different picture regarding the future of Medicare, Medicaid, and Social Security compared to the often-reassuring rhetoric of some

elected officials. These entities advocate for fundamental, systemic changes that, while framed as "reforms," "efficiency measures," or necessary steps for "sustainability," would in practice lead to substantial reductions in the current scope, funding, and nature of these entitlement programs. Their detailed blueprints propose structural shifts towards privatization (particularly for Medicare), significant eligibility restrictions (such as work requirements and stricter asset tests for Medicaid), and direct alterations to benefit parameters (like raising the Social Security retirement age). This policy architecture, developed by influential conservative think tanks, can provide a roadmap and intellectual justification for political administrations seeking to enact major changes to these popular programs, potentially allowing politicians to offer narrower public assurances while pursuing broader, more impactful "reforms" drawn from these detailed proposals.

Summary of Positions from Project 2025/Heritage Foundation

Speaker/Affiliation	Program(s)	Date of Statement/Publication	Key Stance/Proposal (Regarding Protection vs. Cuts/Reform)	Source (Document Title/URL)
Project 2025 / Heritage Foundation	Medicare, Medicaid	Ongoing (Blueprint)	Blueprint "seeks to... cut Medicare and Medicaid." (²⁵ , ²⁵) Proposes default enrollment into Medicare Advantage. (²⁶)	Project 2025 Blueprint (via Wikipedia ²⁵ , ²⁵ ; KFF Health News ²⁶)
Heritage Foundation (Nina Owcharenko Schaefer)	Medicaid	Apr 4, 2025	Advocates "commonsense Medicaid reforms" (work requirements, eligibility tightening) to "weed out fraud, waste, and abuse," arguing	Heritage Report "Commonsense Medicaid Reforms for 2025" (²⁷ , ²⁷)

			these are not harmful but necessary. (²⁷ , ²⁷)	
Heritage Foundation	Medicare Advantage	Undated (post Oct 2024 context)	Argues Medicare Advantage "needs reforms, not cuts" by Biden Admin; proposes market-based bidding, removing benefit restrictions. (²⁸)	Heritage Report "Medicare Advantage Needs Reform, Not Cuts" (²⁸)
Project 2025 Authors	Social Security	Ongoing (Blueprint)	Endorsed an increase to the full retirement age from 67 to 69. (²⁹)	Project 2025 Blueprint (via American Progress ²⁹)
Paul Dans (Project 2025 Director - pre-dissolution)	Medicare, Medicaid, Social Security	April 2025 (article date)	Layoffs driven by DOGE (influenced by P2025 ideology) "will have deleterious effects on public services like Medicaid, Medicare and Social Security." (³⁰)	GovExec article (³⁰)

- **A. Heritage Foundation Officials / Project 2025 Authors & Spokespeople**
 - **1. Medicare: Statements on Protection vs. Reform/Cuts**
 - **Project 2025 Position (Cuts & Privatization Push):** The comprehensive "Mandate for Leadership" produced by Project 2025 explicitly "seeks to... cut Medicare and Medicaid," according to summaries of the blueprint (²⁵, ²⁵). A key structural change proposed for Medicare involves fundamentally

altering how seniors are enrolled. The plan "would have seniors enroll by default in Medicare Advantage plans run by commercial insurers, in essence privatizing the health program for older Americans" ⁽²⁶⁾. This shift towards Medicare Advantage, which consists of private insurance plans, represents a significant move away from the traditional fee-for-service Medicare program and aligns with a long-standing conservative goal of introducing more market-based mechanisms into the program. Such a change is far removed from a promise to protect traditional Medicare in its current form and signals an intent to reduce direct government administration and potentially overall federal spending on the program.

- **Heritage Foundation Position (Reform Medicare Advantage, Criticize Biden "Cuts"):** The Heritage Foundation, a leading contributor to Project 2025, has also focused on Medicare, particularly advocating for reforms to the Medicare Advantage (MA) program. In a report (undated but contextually recent, addressing Biden Administration policies), Heritage argues, "The Biden Administration is proposing cuts to Medicare Advantage... These cuts would undermine Medicare Advantage plans and would hurt seniors' access to higher quality, comprehensive benefits. To prepare the program for the future, Medicare Advantage needs reforms, not cuts" ⁽²⁸⁾. The "reforms" proposed by Heritage for MA are substantial: detaching MA plan payments from the traditional Medicare fee-for-service system and replacing this with a competitive, market-based bidding system; updating the risk adjustment model; removing statutory and regulatory restrictions on benefit offerings (such as allowing MA plans to offer hospice care or prescription drug coverage with a medical savings account); and making Medicare Advantage plans the default enrollment option for all Medicare-eligible seniors ⁽²⁸⁾. While Heritage frames these as measures to "strengthen and sustain" MA and criticizes alleged Biden Administration "cuts" to MA, the proposals themselves—especially making MA the default and overhauling its payment structure—would significantly accelerate the shift of the entire Medicare population into private plans. This aligns with the broader Project 2025 goal and could be viewed as a strategy to transform, rather than simply protect, the existing Medicare framework.
- **2. Medicaid: Statements on Protection vs. Reform/Cuts**
 - **Project 2025 Position (Cuts & Restrictions):** Medicaid is a prominent target for significant changes within the Project 2025 blueprint. The plan explicitly "seeks to... cut Medicare and Medicaid" ^(25, 25). Specific proposals include "adding work requirements and capping benefits from

Medicaid, disproportionately affecting those with chronic conditions or disabilities," as detailed on page 468 of the Project 2025 document ⁽³¹⁾. Further elaborations of Project 2025's Medicaid proposals include imposing caps on federal funding, setting limits on lifetime benefits per capita, allowing states to implement stricter work requirements, restricting state use of provider taxes (a mechanism states use to help finance their share of Medicaid costs), eliminating existing federal beneficiary protections and requirements, making eligibility and asset test determinations more difficult (thus harder to enroll and renew), providing an option to convert Medicaid into a voucher program, and eliminating federal oversight of state Medicaid programs ⁽²⁵⁾. These are not minor adjustments but represent a fundamental restructuring aimed at reducing federal financial commitments and programmatic scope.

- **Heritage Foundation Position (Framing Reforms as Protection/Efficiency):** The Heritage Foundation, in an April 4, 2025 report titled "Commonsense Medicaid Reforms for 2025," argues that significant changes to Medicaid are necessary and beneficial. Nina Owcharenko Schaefer, Director of Heritage's Center for Health and Welfare Policy, stated, "Liberal attempts to stoke fears that changes to Medicaid are dangerous and harmful are more about protecting the status quo than protecting the program. Those who genuinely want to protect Medicaid... should not be fooled by these claims... reforms to Medicaid are much needed and well overdue" ^(27, 27). The "commonsense Medicaid reforms" advocated by Heritage include measures to "weed out fraud, waste, and abuse." Specific proposals involve empowering states to "better enforce eligibility rules" by rolling back Biden-era policies that aimed to maximize enrollment rather than strictly enforce eligibility. Heritage also suggests ending the enhanced federal match rate provided by the ACA for states that expanded Medicaid to cover able-bodied, childless adults, proposing instead that this group be funded at the standard state match rate. Further recommendations include ending state financing "gimmicks" like provider taxes, broadly allowing states to implement work requirements as a condition of receiving benefits, and undertaking a comprehensive restructuring, reorganization, and reauthorization of the entire program ^(27, 27). While Heritage frames these as necessary "reforms" to "protect" Medicaid and make it "better serve enrollees and taxpayers," policies such as rolling back enrollment-focused initiatives, adding work requirements, and altering federal funding matches are widely viewed by opponents as measures that would lead to

reduced enrollment and diminished access to care, effectively functioning as cuts to the program's reach and expenditure. This illustrates a clear strategic framing of potentially restrictive policies as beneficial reforms.

○ **3. Social Security: Statements on Protection vs. Reform/Cuts**

- **Project 2025 Position (Raising Retirement Age):** Regarding Social Security, "The authors behind Project 2025 have endorsed an increase to the full retirement age from 67 to 69," according to an analysis by the Center for American Progress ⁽²⁹⁾. The analysis further states that "Doing so would cut benefits for nearly three-quarters of Americans" by either delaying access to full benefits or reducing the monthly amount if benefits are claimed before the new full retirement age. This proposed change to a core parameter of the Social Security program is a significant structural alteration, not a promise of protection for the system as currently legislated.
- No explicit statements were found in the provided documents from Heritage Foundation officials since October 1, 2024, directly promising that Social Security would *not* be cut or detailing specific protections, beyond the general conservative fiscal principles that often imply a need for reform in large entitlement programs to ensure long-term solvency or reduce government spending. A Social Security Administration newsletter from November 2024 detailed the 2.5% cost-of-living adjustment (COLA) for 2025 but is an agency announcement, not a Heritage Foundation policy statement ⁽³²⁾.

○ **4. Statements from Paul Dans (Former Project 2025 Director)**

- **Context (Impact of P2025-influenced policies):** While direct statements from Paul Dans, former director of Project 2025, since October 1, 2024, specifically promising protection for these programs are not present in the provided documents, the perceived impact of the project he spearheaded is discussed. An April 2025 article in GovExec noted that Project 2025 (which the article stated had "ended" by that time, with no one available to respond from the project itself) had called for significant reductions in the federal workforce ⁽³⁰⁾. The article then linked this ideology to the actions of the Trump Administration's Department of Government Efficiency (DOGE), stating that the resulting layoffs "will have deleterious effects on public services like Medicaid, Medicare and Social Security" ⁽³⁰⁾. This suggests that the administrative and workforce philosophies championed by Project 2025 under Dans's leadership are seen as leading to outcomes that could harm the delivery and accessibility of these key social programs, an indirect consequence rather than a direct

promise of protection or harm from Dans himself within the specified timeframe.

III. Statements from Republican Members of Congress on Relevant Committees

- **A. House Ways and Means Committee Leadership/Members (Chair: Jason Smith, R-MO)**

Representative Jason Smith, Chairman of the House Ways and Means Committee, has emphasized a commitment to "protecting and preserving" Social Security benefits, often linking this protection to efforts to root out "fraud and waste" and ensure program efficiency. This framing aligns with broader conservative narratives that these programs can be strengthened through integrity measures. However, in the context of major legislative packages, particularly those involving tax cuts, the committee's actions under his leadership have included measures that would significantly reduce Medicaid funding, primarily through structural changes like work requirements. This suggests that while direct benefit cuts to Social Security might be eschewed in rhetoric, other programs like Medicaid are subject to substantial "reforms" that achieve fiscal savings, often driven by the need to accommodate tax policy priorities.

- **1. Medicare:**

- No explicit statements were found from Chairman Jason Smith since October 1, 2024, in the provided documents, specifically stating that Medicare would *not* be cut or otherwise harmed. The introduction of H.R. 814, the "Protect Social Security and Medicare Act," in February 2023 (pre-dating the query's primary timeframe but indicative of stated intent) aimed to restrict consideration of legislation reducing benefits under these programs unless supported by a two-thirds majority, though it included an exception for certain Medicare Advantage payment reductions if offset by increases elsewhere in Medicare ⁽³³⁾.
- The House Ways and Means Committee operates under budget reconciliation instructions that direct it to approve substantial net tax cuts, which are, in turn, contingent on achieving significant mandatory spending cuts from other committees ⁽³⁴⁾. While Chairman Smith has indicated the committee might consider revenue-raising measures to help offset the cost of tax relief, the overarching fiscal environment involves a push for spending reductions in conjunction with tax cuts.

- **2. Medicaid:**

- No explicit statements were found from Chairman Jason Smith since October 1, 2024, in the provided documents, specifically stating that Medicaid would *not* be cut or otherwise harmed.

- **Context:** A major House GOP legislative package, to which the Ways and Means Committee contributed significant tax provisions, was projected to slash federal funding for Medicaid by nearly \$700 billion over ten years. These reductions were primarily to be achieved through the implementation of work requirements for certain beneficiaries and other changes to eligibility criteria ⁽³⁵⁾. In public statements regarding this package, Chairman Smith focused on the tax relief aspects, asserting that “Working families, farmers and small businesses win with this bill” by expanding and making permanent certain deductions and tax credits ⁽³⁶⁾. The advancement of legislation containing such deep Medicaid cuts, while highlighting other components of the bill, indicates that protecting Medicaid from substantial reductions was not the primary articulated objective in this legislative context.
- **3. Social Security:**
 - **Assurance (Framed as Protecting from Fraud/Waste & Fulfilling Promises):**
 - **Quote:** “President Trump knows that protecting Social Security includes rooting out fraud and waste to ensure that the right benefit is paid to the right person at the right time... House Republicans and President Trump remain committed to protecting and preserving the retirement benefits seniors count on.”
 - **Speaker:** Jason Smith
 - **Position/Affiliation:** Chairman, House Ways and Means Committee
 - **Date:** March 12, 2025
 - **Source:** Ways and Means Committee Markup of H.Res. 195 ^(7, 7)
 - **Context (Focus on Combating Improper Payments):** Chairman Smith has consistently linked the protection of Social Security to the importance of combating improper payments. In a statement on February 22, 2024 (pre-dating the query's primary timeframe but relevant to ongoing committee oversight), concerning a proposed SSA rule to use a payroll information exchange, he said, “Seniors and people with disabilities should not be on the hook for avoidable improper payments... Thanks to that Congressional oversight work, the Social Security Administration is finally taking steps to do more to stop improper payments and protect seniors” ⁽³⁷⁾. His March 12, 2025, statement reiterated this theme, emphasizing that “protecting Social Security includes rooting out fraud and waste.” This framing suggests that administrative and oversight actions aimed at program integrity are presented as a core component of “protecting” benefits. While combating genuine fraud is a widely

supported goal, an aggressive pursuit of "improper payments" can sometimes lead to increased administrative burdens and potential benefit denials for eligible individuals who encounter difficulties navigating complex verification processes.

- **B. Senate Finance Committee Leadership/Members (Chair: Mike Crapo, R-ID, from Jan 3, 2025)**

Senator Mike Crapo, upon assuming the chairmanship of the Senate Finance Committee in January 2025, has publicly aligned with President Trump's assurances that Social Security, Medicare, and Medicaid benefits will not be reduced. His own proactive statements have tended to focus on specific, potentially bipartisan healthcare delivery reforms, such as improving telehealth access and chronic disease management, rather than broad pronouncements on the overall funding or fundamental structure of the major entitlement programs. This approach suggests a strategy of publicly supporting the popular "no cuts" stance while directing committee efforts towards more targeted or less controversial reforms. The concerns voiced by constituent groups regarding potential Medicaid cuts in broader budget resolutions indicate that the Senate Finance Committee may face pressure to act as a moderating influence on more drastic proposals emerging from the House or conservative policy blueprints.

- **1. Medicare, Medicaid, Social Security (General Assurance via Trump):**
 - **Quote:** "President Trump has made it absolutely clear that he will not support or allow any reduction to benefits for Social Security, Medicare or Medicaid." (Crapo relaying Trump's position)
 - **Speaker:** Mike Crapo
 - **Position/Affiliation:** Chairman, Senate Finance Committee
 - **Date:** March 25, 2025
 - **Source:** AARP Virtual Forum ⁽⁶⁾
 - **Context:** During this virtual forum, Senator Crapo was responding to questions from callers concerned about the future of these entitlement programs under the Trump administration. He also highlighted a bipartisan agreement with Senator Ron Wyden aimed at stabilizing clinic payment systems, increasing telehealth access, and more aggressively preventing and treating chronic diseases, initiatives that could impact Medicare and Medicaid delivery systems ⁽⁶⁾. His deference to President Trump's stated position on benefit protection, coupled with his focus on specific healthcare reforms, suggests a dual approach: reinforcing broad assurances while pursuing targeted legislative changes.
- **2. Medicare:**
 - No additional explicit statements were found from Senator Crapo since

October 1, 2024 (or since becoming chair in January 2025), in the provided documents, specifically stating Medicare would *not* be cut or otherwise harmed by his committee's actions, beyond the general statement above.

- **Context:** In his January 2025 statement upon becoming Chairman of the Finance Committee, Crapo listed "expand access to affordable, quality health care" as one of his key objectives, alongside preserving pro-growth tax policies and improving global competitiveness in trade ⁽³⁸⁾. This is a general policy goal rather than a specific pledge against any cuts to Medicare.

- **3. Medicaid:**

- No additional explicit statements were found from Senator Crapo since October 1, 2024 (or since becoming chair in January 2025), in the provided documents, specifically stating Medicaid would *not* be cut or otherwise harmed by his committee's actions, beyond the general statement above.
- **Context:** Advocacy groups have expressed concerns about potential Medicaid cuts. A petition by "Idaho Supports Medicaid" (undated but referencing 2025 House/Senate budget negotiations) urged Senator Crapo to "reject harmful cuts to Medicaid and food assistance" that were proposed in a House budget resolution. The petition referenced his past efforts in championing bipartisan solutions to strengthen rural healthcare, appealing to his leadership to protect these programs ⁽³⁹⁾. This indicates that despite general assurances, there is active concern from constituents and advocacy organizations regarding the potential for Medicaid reductions within the broader budget process.

- **4. Social Security:**

- No additional explicit statements were found from Senator Crapo since October 1, 2024 (or since becoming chair in January 2025), in the provided documents, specifically stating Social Security would *not* be cut or otherwise harmed by his committee's actions, beyond the general statement above.
- **Context:** A May 2025 report mentioned Senator Crapo's interest in potentially increasing the Child Tax Credit but also noted his historical opposition to lowering the income floor for families to qualify for the credit ⁽⁴⁰⁾. While this pertains to a different social program, it offers a glimpse into his fiscal considerations.

- **C. House Budget Committee Leadership/Members (Chair: Jodey Arrington, R-TX)**

Representative Jodey Arrington, Chairman of the House Budget Committee, has adopted a notably more direct stance regarding the need for "entitlement cuts" and "reform," particularly concerning Medicaid, compared to many other political leaders. He has framed these changes as essential for combating "waste and fraud" and controlling federal spending, rather than offering broad, unqualified assurances of protection. His committee has been a key driver in advancing legislation with significant spending implications for these programs, reflecting an aggressive pursuit of fiscal austerity. This approach can create tension with the more protective messaging from other segments of party leadership, highlighting the internal party dynamics surrounding entitlement policy.

- **1. Medicare:**

- No explicit statements were found from Chairman Jodey Arrington since October 1, 2024, in the provided documents, specifically stating Medicare would *not* be cut or otherwise harmed.
- **Context:** Chairman Arrington has spoken broadly about the necessity for "political courage" to execute "entitlement reform." His committee advanced a major reconciliation bill in May 2025 ^(41, 42), and while Medicaid was the most prominently discussed entitlement in relation to this bill in the provided materials, the overall push for spending cuts could have implications for Medicare as well.

- **2. Medicaid:**

- **No explicit promise of *no cuts* to Medicaid. Instead, a focus on "reform" and cutting "waste and abuse," while acknowledging the potential for "entitlement cuts."**
- **Quote (Acknowledging potential for cuts/need for courage):** In an interview reported on May 4, 2025, Chairman Arrington "suggested his own party might not pass what he called "entitlement cuts" to Medicaid due to political pressure." He further stated, "I think every Republican says that they share that, but the question is, will we have the political courage to execute on that... The question is, will Republicans surrender the opportunity to actually bend the curve on entitlement spending and enact entitlement reform? Because there is tremendous amounts of waste and fraud. In fact, the Government Accountability Office says upwards of \$500 billion over the 10-year budget window just in Medicaid" ⁽⁴³⁾.
- **Context:** Arrington argued that substantial savings, potentially as much as \$1.5 trillion, could be achieved in Medicaid "without touching benefit funding" by targeting alleged waste, abuse, and particularly the population covered under the ACA Medicaid expansion, which he claimed has "hurt access" for traditionally vulnerable groups like pregnant women,

the blind, and the disabled (⁴³).

- **Legislative Action:** The House Budget Committee, under Arrington's chairmanship, played a central role in advancing the "One Big Beautiful Bill Act" through reconciliation. This bill included significant changes to Medicaid, most notably the imposition of work requirements. The process was contentious, with initial attempts to pass the bill out of committee facing resistance from some conservative Republicans who argued that the proposed cuts were not immediate or deep enough, particularly concerning the start date for Medicaid work requirements (⁴⁴, ⁴²). These internal negotiations underscore the pressure for substantial fiscal action targeting Medicaid.

- **3. Social Security:**

- No explicit statements were found from Chairman Jodey Arrington since October 1, 2024, in the provided documents, specifically stating Social Security would *not* be cut or otherwise harmed.
- **Context:** The reconciliation bill advanced by his committee in May 2025 was described by Speaker Mike Johnson as undergoing "just some minor modifications" after initial conservative resistance (⁴⁵). The primary focus of the debate, as reflected in the documents, was on Medicaid and the overall deficit impact of the bill, with less specific discussion concerning Social Security provisions.

- **D. Senate Budget Committee Leadership/Members (Chair: Lindsey Graham, R-SC, from Jan 2025)**

Senator Lindsey Graham, as Chairman of the Senate Budget Committee since January 2025, has framed the FY 2025 budget resolution primarily as a vehicle for achieving other major Republican policy goals, such as funding border security, increasing military spending, and making tax cuts permanent. While he has not explicitly called for cuts to Medicare, Medicaid, or Social Security in the provided documents, the budget resolution he advanced maintained House instructions that would necessitate significant spending reductions, particularly impacting Medicaid. This positions his role more as a procedural facilitator for the party's broader fiscal agenda, which implicitly allows for substantial changes to entitlement programs to accommodate other priorities, rather than as a vocal champion for protecting these specific programs from any reductions.

- **1. Medicare:**

- No explicit statements were found from Senator Graham since January 2025 (when he became chair), in the provided documents, specifically stating Medicare would *not* be cut or otherwise harmed.
- **Context:** An amendment to the House budget resolution for FY 2025,

released by Senator Graham on April 2, 2025, notably "leaves unchanged the instruction for the House Energy and Commerce Committee to cut a minimum of \$880 billion in spending" ⁽⁴⁶⁾. The Energy and Commerce Committee has primary jurisdiction over Medicaid and other significant healthcare programs, including portions of Medicare. Senator Graham's public statements accompanying the budget resolution focused on its role in enabling funding for border security, the military, making the 2017 tax cuts permanent, and promoting energy production ^(46, 47).

○ **2. Medicaid:**

- No explicit statements were found from Senator Graham since January 2025, in the provided documents, specifically stating Medicaid would *not* be cut or otherwise harmed.
- **Context:** As mentioned above, the Senate budget resolution amendment presented by Graham maintained the House Energy and Commerce Committee's instruction to find at least \$880 billion in savings, a directive that heavily implicates Medicaid due to its significant share of that committee's jurisdictional spending ⁽⁴⁶⁾. This led advocacy groups like LeadingAge to express concern that "Dramatic cuts to Medicaid... remain stark possibilities" under this Senate budget framework ^(48, 48). Further highlighting concerns about potential Medicaid cuts under GOP leadership, Senator Josh Hawley reported that President Trump had personally assured him there would be no cuts to Medicaid benefits, adding his hope that "our leadership will take that cue," which implies an underlying apprehension about the direction leadership might otherwise pursue ⁽⁴⁹⁾. The budget resolution advanced by Graham, by upholding the House's savings target for health programs, suggests that protecting Medicaid from substantial reductions was not an overriding priority in the design of this fiscal blueprint.

○ **3. Social Security:**

- No explicit statements were found from Senator Graham since January 2025, in the provided documents, specifically stating Social Security would *not* be cut or otherwise harmed.
- **Context:** The FY 2025 budget resolution unveiled by Senator Graham was primarily framed as a blueprint to "secure the border, bolster our military, increase American energy independence and begin the process of fiscal sanity" ⁽⁴⁷⁾. Social Security was not specifically mentioned in this announcement as a program being either particularly protected or targeted for changes.

IV. Summary of Figures with No Qualifying Public Statements Found (Within Documents, Since Oct 1, 2024, Explicitly Promising No Cuts/Harm)

- **Ron DeSantis:** As detailed in Section I.C, no explicit public statements were found from Ron DeSantis since October 1, 2024, in the provided documents, offering unqualified assurances at the federal level that Medicare, Medicaid, or Social Security would not be cut, reduced, or harmed. His relevant commentary within the examined materials primarily pertains to policy positions held before October 2024 or addresses state-level Medicaid policy in Florida.
- **Republican Members of Congress on Budget Committees (General Protective Stance from a Faction):** While the chairs of the House and Senate Budget Committees (Arrington and Graham, respectively) have advanced budget resolutions that imply or necessitate significant spending changes, particularly for Medicaid, a specific faction within the House Republican conference has voiced opposition to such cuts. A letter from twelve House Republicans to Speaker Mike Johnson, reported in the context of April/May 2025 budget discussions, explicitly stated their protective stance on Medicaid:
 - **Quote (12 House Republicans):** "However, we cannot and will not support a final reconciliation bill that includes any reduction in Medicaid coverage for vulnerable populations." ⁽¹⁰⁾
 - This statement is significant as it demonstrates an internal counter-pressure within the Republican party against deep cuts to Medicaid, contrasting with the broader direction indicated by some committee actions and conservative policy blueprints. These members emphasized support for "targeted reforms" of Medicaid, such as improving program integrity and reducing improper payments, rather than reductions in coverage for vulnerable populations ⁽¹⁰⁾.

Conclusion

The examination of public statements and policy documents since October 1, 2024, reveals a complex and often nuanced landscape regarding conservative positions on Medicare, Medicaid, and Social Security. A prominent theme is the semantic distinction between assurances of "no cuts" to benefits and proposals for "reform," "efficiency," or "program integrity" measures. Figures such as Donald Trump and House Speaker Mike Johnson have offered explicit promises that benefits under these programs will not be cut. However, these assurances frequently coexist with administrative actions or legislative proposals—such as changes to the Social Security Administration's operations or the introduction of work requirements for Medicaid—that critics and independent analyses project could significantly reduce access to services, decrease program enrollment, or diminish the overall value of the

programs for beneficiaries. This suggests that "cuts" may be narrowly defined by some speakers as direct reductions in nominal benefit amounts, allowing for other impactful changes to be pursued under alternative justifications.

Medicaid, in particular, emerges as a consistent target for substantial "savings" or "reforms" across various conservative policy blueprints and legislative efforts. Proposals from Project 2025, The Heritage Foundation, and measures advanced by House committees under Republican leadership include items like work requirements, block grants, per capita caps, and tightened eligibility standards. While often framed as necessary for fiscal responsibility or program improvement, these changes are widely anticipated to lead to significant reductions in federal expenditure and beneficiary coverage. Assurances for Medicaid's protection are frequently more qualified or are accompanied by clear intentions to restructure the program fundamentally.

Conservative think tanks like The Heritage Foundation and the authors of Project 2025 provide detailed and often far-reaching policy prescriptions that advocate for systemic changes. These include raising the Social Security retirement age, shifting Medicare towards a more privatized model centered on Medicare Advantage, and imposing stringent funding caps and restrictions on Medicaid. Such blueprints may offer a policy framework that allows political actors to publicly offer broad reassurances while incrementally implementing changes aligned with these more transformative, and often more controversial, long-term goals.

Finally, the legislative process itself reveals intra-party tensions. While a general conservative aim is fiscal restraint, disagreements surface regarding the extent, timing, and specific targets of spending cuts, especially concerning programs as popular and impactful as Medicaid. The presence of factions within the Republican party advocating for the protection of certain benefits, as seen with the group of House members opposing Medicaid coverage reductions, indicates that the path to enacting significant changes to these entitlement programs is subject to internal negotiation and political pressure, potentially moderating the most drastic proposals or altering the final shape of any enacted policies. The discourse surrounding these vital programs underscores a continuing debate over their scope, sustainability, and the definition of "protection" in an era of fiscal challenges.

Works cited

1. FACT CHECK: President Trump Will Always Protect Social Security ..., accessed May 22, 2025, <https://www.whitehouse.gov/articles/2025/03/fact-check-president-trump-will-al>

- [ways-protect-social-security-medicare/](#)
2. Trump breaks promise not to cut Social Security | The Pennsylvania ..., accessed May 22, 2025,
<https://pennsylvaniaindependent.com/politics/trump-breaks-promise-cut-social-security-administration-funding-closures/>
 3. Trump breaks promise not to cut Social Security | The Pennsylvania Independent, accessed May 22, 2025,
<https://pennsylvaniaindependent.com/Politics/trump-breaks-promise-cut-social-security-administration-funding-closures>
 4. Speaker Johnson Exposes Democrats' CR Misinformation | U.S. ..., accessed May 22, 2025,
<https://mikejohnson.house.gov/news/documentsingle.aspx?DocumentID=1562>
 5. A fight over Medicaid cuts is threatening GOP plans for Trump's 'big ..., accessed May 22, 2025,
<https://www.wuft.org/2025-05-09/a-fight-over-medicaid-cuts-is-threatening-gop-plans-for-trumps-big-beautiful-bill>
 6. In AARP call, Sen. Mike Crapo says Trump will not cut social security, medicaid benefits, accessed May 22, 2025,
<https://www.boisestatepublicradio.org/politics-government/2025-03-25/doge-funding-cuts-federal-firings-aarp>
 7. Chairman Smith: President Trump and House Republicans Are ..., accessed May 22, 2025,
<https://waysandmeans.house.gov/2025/03/12/chairman-smith-president-trump-and-house-republicans-are-committed-to-preserving-social-security-benefits/>
 8. House passes reconciliation bill with massive Medicaid cuts ..., accessed May 22, 2025,
<https://www.healthcaredive.com/news/house-passes-reconciliation-bill-medicaid-healthcare/748697/>
 9. Social Security Statement on President Trump's Memorandum ..., accessed May 22, 2025,
<https://blog.ssa.gov/social-security-statement-on-president-trumps-memorandum-preventing-illegal-aliens-from-obtaining-social-security-act-benefits/>
 10. Republicans Issue Red Line Warning to Mike Johnson - Newsweek, accessed May 22, 2025,
<https://www.newsweek.com/republicans-issue-red-line-warning-mike-johnson-2060504>
 11. Mike Johnson Reveals His Disastrous Plans for Medicaid | The New ..., accessed May 22, 2025,
<https://newrepublic.com/post/193865/mike-johnson-donald-trump-budget-cuts-medicaid>
 12. GOP budget battle erupts over Medicaid - POLITICO, accessed May 22, 2025,
<https://www.politico.com/newsletters/politico-pulse/2025/05/16/gop-budget-battle-erupts-over-medicaid-00352680>
 13. Wisconsin lawmaker falsely claims US House speaker 'opposes Social Security' - PolitiFact, accessed May 22, 2025,

- <https://www.politifact.com/factchecks/2023/nov/17/francesca-hong/wis-lawmaker-says-us-house-speaker-opposes-social/>
14. Here's what's in the GOP megabill that's headed for a vote in the House - WUFT, accessed May 22, 2025,
<https://www.wuft.org/2025-05-21/heres-whats-in-the-gop-megabill-thats-headed-for-a-vote-in-the-house>
 15. PolitiFact FL: Does DeSantis want to cut Social Security and Medicare? What his record shows - WLRN, accessed May 22, 2025,
<https://www.wlrn.org/government-politics/2023-11-20/desantis-social-security-medicare>
 16. PolitiFact FL: Does DeSantis want to cut Social Security and Medicare? What his record shows - WUSF, accessed May 22, 2025,
<https://www.wusf.org/politics-issues/2023-11-20/politifact-fl-does-desantis-want-cut-social-security-medicare-record-shows>
 17. 2025 Policy Agenda, accessed May 22, 2025,
<https://www.floridapolicy.org/posts/2025-legislative-agenda>
 18. Medicaid expansion initiative leader sues state over upcoming restrictions, accessed May 22, 2025,
<https://www.cfpb.org/politics/2025-05-06/medicaid-expansion-initiative-leader-sues-state-over-upcoming-restrictions>
 19. 2024 presidential candidates on Medicare - Ballotpedia, accessed May 22, 2025,
https://ballotpedia.org/2024_presidential_candidates_on_Medicare
 20. Haley Campaign Press Release - Latest Trump Lie on Social Security Shows He's Scared of Haley | The American Presidency Project, accessed May 22, 2025,
<https://www.presidency.ucsb.edu/documents/haley-campaign-press-release-latest-trump-lie-social-security-shows-hes-scared-haley-0>
 21. REMINDER: Nikki Haley Tried to Rip Away Affordable Health Care From South Carolinians and Could Do the Same as President, accessed May 22, 2025,
<https://scdp.org/scdp-news/reminder-nikki-haley-tried-to-rip-away-affordable-health-care-from-south-carolinians-and-could-do-the-same-as-president/>
 22. Haley Campaign Press Release - Haley Calls Out Policy Differences with Trump, accessed May 22, 2025,
<https://www.presidency.ucsb.edu/documents/haley-campaign-press-release-haley-calls-out-policy-differences-with-trump>
 23. FACT: Nikki Haley Is Coming After Social Security and Medicare - Democrats.org, accessed May 22, 2025,
<https://democrats.org/news/fact-nikki-haley-is-coming-after-social-security-and-medicare/>
 24. Haley Campaign Press Release - Fact Checkers Working Overtime to Debunk Trump's Social Security Lies and Others | The American Presidency Project, accessed May 22, 2025,
<https://www.presidency.ucsb.edu/documents/haley-campaign-press-release-fact-checkers-working-overtime-debunk-trumps-social-security>
 25. Project 2025 - Wikipedia, accessed May 22, 2025,
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Project_2025

26. Trump Froze Out Project 2025 in His Campaign. Now Its Blueprint Is His Health Care Playbook., accessed May 22, 2025,
<https://kffhealthnews.org/news/article/trump-project-2025-health-policy-abortion-medicaid-usaid/>
27. Commonsense Medicaid Reforms for 2025 | The Heritage Foundation, accessed May 22, 2025,
<https://www.heritage.org/health-care-reform/report/commonsense-medicaid-reforms-2025>
28. Medicare Advantage Needs Reform, Not Cuts | The Heritage Foundation, accessed May 22, 2025,
<https://www.heritage.org/health-care-reform/report/medicare-advantage-needs-reform-not-cuts>
29. Frequently Asked Questions About Project 2025 - Center for American Progress, accessed May 22, 2025,
<https://www.americanprogress.org/article/frequently-asked-questions-about-project-2025/>
30. Project 2025 wanted to hobble the federal workforce. DOGE has hastily done that, and more, accessed May 22, 2025,
<https://www.govexec.com/transition/2025/04/project-2025-wanted-hobble-federal-workforce-doge-has-hastily-done-and-more/404390/>
31. Project 2025 - American Public Health Association, accessed May 22, 2025,
<https://www.apha.org/topics-and-issues/public-health-under-threat/project-2025>
32. Social Security Update Archive | SSA, accessed May 22, 2025,
<https://www.ssa.gov/news/newsletter/archive.html>
33. H.R.814 - 118th Congress (2023-2024): Protect Social Security and Medicare Act, accessed May 22, 2025,
<https://www.congress.gov/bill/118th-congress/house-bill/814>
34. Senate approves amendments to Housepassed budget plan for tax - PwC, accessed May 22, 2025,
<https://www.pwc.com/us/en/services/tax/library/pwc-senate-approves-amendments-to-housepassed-budget-plan-for-tax.html>
35. What's in Trump's 'big, beautiful' bill that passed the House - KESQ, accessed May 22, 2025,
<https://kesq.com/news/national-politics/cnn-us-politics/2025/05/22/what-the-house-gop-is-proposing-in-its-first-draft-of-trumps-sweeping-tax-and-spending-cuts-package/>
36. U.S. House Republicans push through massive tax and spending bill slashing Medicaid, accessed May 22, 2025,
<https://www.dailyfly.com/2025/05/22/u-s-house-republicans-push-through-massive-tax-and-spending-bill-slashing-medicaid/>
37. Chairman Smith: Social Security Must Use Every Tool to Fight Improper Payments, accessed May 22, 2025,
<https://waysandmeans.house.gov/2024/02/22/chairman-smith-social-security-must-use-every-tool-to-fight-improper-payments/>

38. Crapo Named Chairman of Senate Finance Committee, accessed May 22, 2025, <https://www.crapo.senate.gov/media/newsreleases/crapo-named-chairman-of-senate-finance-committee>
39. Tell Senator Crapo to Protect Medicaid - Action Network, accessed May 22, 2025, <https://actionnetwork.org/petitions/tell-senator-crapo-to-protect-medicaid?nowrapper=true&referrer=&source=email>
40. Millions of immigrant families would be shut out under new child tax credit proposal, accessed May 22, 2025, <https://stocktonia.org/news/business/2025/05/18/immigrant-families-child-tax-credit-proposal/>
41. Statement From Budget Chairman Arrington | The U.S. House Committee on the Budget, accessed May 22, 2025, <https://budget.house.gov/press-release/statement-from-budget-chairman-arrington>
42. House Budget Committee overcomes conservative pushback to advance reconciliation package - Fierce Healthcare, accessed May 22, 2025, <https://www.fiercehealthcare.com/payers/health-plans-advocacy-groups-descend-dc-ahead-medicaid-hearing>
43. Washington Examiner: Jodey Arrington questions whether GOP has 'courage' to cut spending, accessed May 22, 2025, <https://arrington.house.gov/news/documentsingle.aspx?DocumentID=2725>
44. House Budget Bill Stalls in Committee, Throwing Off Timeline - Thomson Reuters tax, accessed May 22, 2025, <https://tax.thomsonreuters.com/news/house-budget-bill-stalls-in-committee-throwing-off-timeline/>
45. Trump's bill advances in rare weekend vote as House conservatives negotiate changes, accessed May 22, 2025, <https://www.wuft.org/2025-05-19/trumps-bill-advances-in-rare-weekend-vote-as-house-conservatives-negotiate-changes>
46. Graham releases Senate amendment to House budget resolution | AHA News, accessed May 22, 2025, <https://www.aha.org/news/news/2025-04-02-graham-releases-senate-amendment-house-budget-resolution>
47. Chairman Graham Unveils FY 2025 Budget Resolution To Secure The Border, Revitalize Our Military, Unleash American Energy Production And Begin The Process Of Restoring Fiscal Sanity | U.S. Senate Committee On The Budget, accessed May 22, 2025, <https://www.budget.senate.gov/chairman/newsroom/press/chairman-graham-unveils-fy-2025-budget-resolution-to-secure-the-border-revitalize-our-military-unleash-american-energy-production-and-begin-the-process-of-restoring-fiscal-sanity>
48. Senate Tees Up New Budget Resolution; Threats to Medicaid, Bonds Remain - LeadingAge, accessed May 22, 2025, <https://leadingage.org/senate-tees-up-new-budget-resolution-threats-to-medic-aid-bonds-remain/>

49. Senate sets up final budget sprint - Live Updates - POLITICO, accessed May 22, 2025,
<https://www.politico.com/live-updates/2025/04/03/congress/senate-sets-up-final-budget-sprint-00270942>